	Case 2:20-cv-00710-JAM-KJN Docume	ent 19 Filed 09/17/20 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	TRACY LEE DOTSON,	No. 2:20-cv-0710 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	CHANDLER, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By order filed May 18, 2020, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and sixty days leave to	
18	file an amended complaint was granted. On July 2, 2020, plaintiff was granted sixty days to	
19	comply with the May 18, 2020 order. On July 13, 2020, plaintiff filed a notice of release,	
20	exhibits, and the court's Notice of Amendment form, but no amended complaint. On July 21,	
21	2020, plaintiff updated his address of record. Sixty days from the last order have now passed, and	
22	plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.	
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign	
24	a district judge to this case.	
25	////	
26	Plaintiff filed four documents on July 13, 2020, none of which contained an amended complaint. Because plaintiff has had over four months to file an amended complaint, the undersigned declines to grant plaintiff additional time, <i>sua sponte</i> , to comply with the May 18,	
27		
28	2020 order.	1

Case 2:20-cv-00710-JAM-KJN Document 19 Filed 09/17/20 Page 2 of 2

Further, IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within thirty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: September 16, 2020 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE /dots0710.fta